

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

**Civil Writ Petition No.12794 of 2015
Date of decision: 01.07.2015**

Lala Ram

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

A limited prayer has been made in the present petition to decide and treat the absence period of the petitioner w.e.f. 10.01.2011 to 17.06.2011 as duty period which has not been considered while deciding the inquiry proceedings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner moved a representation dated 01.12.2014 (Annexure P-4) and thereafter, a reminder dated 29.12.2014 (Annexure P-5) was also sent, but no action has been taken so far. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to the respondents to consider his representation dated 01.12.2014(Annexure P-4).

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is

disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take action on representation dated 01.12.2014(Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

In case, the petitioner is found entitled for the claim made in the representation, the same be released within a period of two months thereafter.

In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

July 01, 2015
sonia g.