

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13456 of 2014

Date of decision: 29.07.2015

Jai Narain

... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. JS Bedi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Order passed on August 28, 2014, shows that the petitioner had confined his claim to awarding some compensation in lieu of reinstatement. Accordingly, respondents have offered a sum of ₹ 50,000/- to the petitioner in full and final settlement of his claim.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has instructions to settle the dispute and is agreed to accept a sum of ₹ 50,000/-. Accordingly, a cheque valuing ₹ 50,000/- issued in the name of the petitioner, has been handed over to him in court itself and a photocopy thereof is retained on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this would satisfy every conceivable claim of the petitioner. Thus, the petition be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

July 29, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

