

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.1274 of 2015

Date of decision: 27.01.2015

Manjit Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.J.S.Jaidka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The petitioners claim that they are similarly circumstanced as Joginder Kaur, the petitioner in CWP No.24661 of 2014 decided on 3.12.2014, in which, the following order was passed : -

“ The petitioner impugns the order of 2003 and thus this petition on the face of it is highly belated. The same merits dismissal on this score alone. However, since the petitioner has made a representation, she would be at liberty to pursue her remedy with the respondents in this regard. It is expected that the respondents would decide her representation in the light of given facts.

Petition disposed of.”

The petitioners and Joginder Kaur were involved in the same FIR which has led to acquittal on the charge of submitting fake certificate to secure appointment of ETT teacher in 2001. Their services were terminated in 2003 but the petitioners did not approach a court of law for judicial relief.

Today, both the petitioners claim similar directions as made in the aforesaid writ petition.

The petitioners live on hope that some administrative relief may come to Joginder Kaur at the hands of the Administrator. If that is so, the petitioners will be at liberty to approach this Court again claiming parity of treatment, in case, relief is granted by Government, then if such a situation arises, this Court would be free to consider the case as per merits and will not be influenced by this order which is not meant to create equity in favour of the petitioners.

Disposed of with the above directions.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

January 27, 2015
Paritosh Kumar