

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13385-2014

Date of decision:- 02.12.2015

Krishan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner applied for the allotment of a plot in the general category to which he belongs. The respondents inadvertently included him in the advocates' category to which he did not belong. The error admittedly was on the part of the respondents. The petitioner's application was correctly made. The petitioner was declared successful in the draw of lots under the advocates' category.

2. We are bound to grant the reliefs claimed by the petitioner in view of a judgement of the Division Bench of this Court dated 20.09.2013 in *Shakuntla Vs Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and others* (CWP-2519-2013) which in turn followed the judgement of another Division Bench of this Court in *Virender Singh Vs Haryana Urban Development Authority, Manimajra*, 1994(3) PLR 289.

3. In these circumstances, the petition is allowed.

Memo dated 08.04.2013 is quashed and set aside.

4. The respondents shall issue the allotment letter in favour of the petitioner within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The same shall be subject to the petitioner complying with all other terms and conditions.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

02.12.2015
Amodh