

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.03.02 19:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.18992 of 2012
Date of decision:27.02.2015**

Kranta Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.S.K.Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.A.S.Chaudhary, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, at the very outset, submits that petitioner No.3 was found eligible and has been accommodated on the post of Aangwanwari Worker. So far as petitioner No.1 is concerned, no post was available in her village. Regarding petitioner No.2, learned counsel for the State submits that she was not found eligible as she was belonging to different village. He further submits that petitioners No. 1 and 2 would be at liberty to apply as and when any post falls vacant in their respective villages and their candidature would be considered as per merit at the relevant point of time. Learned counsel for the State also submits that so far as present writ petition is concerned, it has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner argues that instant writ petition has not been rendered infructuous and the same may be decided on merits.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, after careful perusal of record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the contentions raised, this Court is of the considered opinion that no relief can be granted to petitioners No.1 and 2 at this stage. In this view of the matter, the present writ petition is ordered to be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by the learned counsel for the State.

Disposed of, accordingly

27.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE