

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015-01-29 12:26

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1262 of 2015
Date of Decision.27.01.2015**

M/s Shree Nanak Agriculture WorksPetitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Jarnail S. Saneta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

--

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner's only remedy will be to give a response in the manner contemplated by the relevant Regulations within the time stipulated of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the notice. On such representation, the Corporation is bound to make a final order. If there is any delay in giving a representation, the delay be condoned and the petitioner may give a representation within a week which will be considered and the decision shall be communicated to the petitioner.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**January 27, 2015
Pankaj***