

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202-b

**Civil Writ Petition No.21353 of 2011
Date of Decision:09.07.2015**

Neket Kumarpetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.R.K.Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG Punjab

Mr.Anupam Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.3

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner fairly states, at the outset, that pursuant to letter of appointment dated 08.11.2010 (Annexure P6), petitioner was appointed as Principal in the Rashtri Madhmik Sikhia Abhiyan Authority, Punjab, for a period of one year and the nature of his appointment was purely contractual. In terms of Clause 3 of the letter of appointment, the petitioner was required to join duty within a week of the receipt of the letter of appointment. Concededly, petitioner did not choose to join, pursuant to an offer of appointment dated 08.11.2010 (Annexure P6).

Ex facie, the period of one year for which the petitioner was afforded an employment is long over. In fact, almost 5 years have already elapsed and with efflux of time, the matter in issue has become infructuous. Learned senior counsel submits that the petition be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

09.07.2015

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**