

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 188 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.02.2015**

Dharamvir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. N.K.Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Additional A.G. Haryana.

Mr. M.K.Mittal, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4.

Mr. S.P.Chahar, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate for respondent No.6.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State refers to the notification dated 7.5.2013 issued by the State of Haryana, to contend that since the petitioner is having equally efficacious alternative remedy, he may be relegated to avail the same.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner could not deny the correctness of the statement made by learned counsel for the State.

In view of the above, petitioner is relegated to his alternative remedy before the Education Tribunal.

Disposed of, accordingly.

4.2.2015
AK Sharma

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**