

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CMs-5976-77-2015 in/&
CWP-13118-2014
Decided on : 21.05.2015**

Balram

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: **Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate,**
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr.D.Khanna, Addl.A.G., Haryana,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr.Hardeep Singh Dhillon, Advocate, for
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J. (ORAL)

CM-5976-2015

Prayer in this application is to condone delay of 5 days in filing the application.

Notice in the application.

Mr.Hardeep Singh Dhillon, Advocate, for Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of contesting respondent No.5 and states that he has no objection, if the application is allowed.

Heard.

In view of statement made by counsel for respondent No.5 and sufficient cause having been shown, the application is allowed and delay of 5 days in filing the application is condoned.

CM-5977-2015

Prayer in this application is to recall order dated 30.03.2015 whereby the writ petition was dismissed for non-

prosecution.

Notice in the application.

Mr.Hardeep Singh Dhillon, Advocate, for Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of contesting respondent No.5 and states that he has no objection, if the application is allowed and order dated 30.03.2015 is recalled.

Heard.

In view of statement made by counsel for contesting respondent No.5, the application is allowed, order dated 30.03.2015 is recalled and the writ petition is restored to its original number and stage.

CWP-13118-2014

Counsel for the petitioner states on instructions that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous as the petition under Section 13 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 has been decided.

Counsel for contesting respondent No.5 does not contest the correctness of the statement made by counsel for the petitioner.

In view of statement made by counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly with liberty to the petitioner to seek his remedy in accordance with law.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

21.05.2015
Shamsher S.Sabharwal

**[AMOL RATTAN SINGH]
JUDGE**