

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 29, 2015

M/s Daliawali Co-op. Labour & Construction Society Ltd., Mansa

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel submits that the security amount, which was deposited by the petitioner at the time when the contract was assigned to it, has not been refunded despite the work having been completed in the year 2011 and the payment of ₹ 93.63 lacs released to the petitioner. He contends that the petitioner shall move a representation to the Executive Engineer, Punjab Supply & Sewerage Division, Mansa (respondent No.3) within a period of two weeks from today, which may be directed to be considered and decided within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Executive

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.12421 OF 2015

:{ 2 }:

Engineer, Punjab Supply & Sewerage Division, Mansa (respondent No.3)
that if the representation is filed by the petitioner within the time stipulated,
he shall consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a
period of two months from its filing.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential
benefits, if any, be released to it, in accordance with law, within a further
period of one month.

June 29, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE