

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

220

Civil Writ Petition No.1557 of 2013

Date of decision: 07.05.2015

Om Parkash

....Petitioner

versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that necessary payment has been released to the petitioner but there is a delay on the part of the respondents in releasing the same and the petitioner is also entitled for interest. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay was on the part of the petitioner himself as necessary formalities were not completed by him.

In view of the facts as mentioned above, since the payment has been released and nothing survives in the petition. In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved with regard to payment of interest, he is at liberty to move a representation to the authorities concerned, which shall be considered by the respondent-department. A direction is also issued to the respondents to pass a speaking order in case the petitioner is found to be entitled for interest and the necessary payment be released as expeditiously as possible.

The petition stands disposed of.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2015

sonia g.