

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.12353 of 2015
Date of Decision: July 06, 2015

Neetu & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr.Manoj Makkar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, the services of the petitioners have been dispensed with on 16.6.2015. The petitioners had been working on contract basis as Computer Operators.

The petitioners shall be at liberty to apply for the said post in case the posts are to be filled through regular process. In case the respondents choose to initiate the process of appointing Computer Operators on contract basis, then preference may be given to the petitioners.

With the aforementioned observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

**July 06, 2015
ramesh**

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**