

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 12343 of 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 14.09.2015**

SATISH KUMAR

.... PETITIONER

Versus

**AUTHORIZED OFFICER, ORIENTAL BANK OF COMMERCE AND
OTHERS**

.... RESPONDENTS

**CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. I.P. Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

* * *

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition for issuing directions to the Debt Recovery Tribunal-I, Chandigarh (respondent No.3 herein) to adjudicate on his application for stay pending before it. It has been stated that the said application was filed on 27.04.2015 and till date the said application has not been decided. Moreover, while issuing notice of motion, status quo regarding possession of the house in question was ordered to be maintained.

Today we have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection if a direction is

to be issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said application within a period of three months, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that till the decision of the said application, status quo order regarding possession of the house in question as ordered by this Court be continued.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this petition is disposed of with the aforesaid directions and respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the application for stay within a period of three months.

Till the decision of the said application, the status quo regarding possession of the house granted by this Court will remain operative.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

14.09.2015
SwarnjitS