

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21163-2011

Date of Decision : 27.04.2015

Sukh Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Kulbhushan Raheja, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Precise stand set out by the State in the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 vide affidavit of District Education Officer (EE), Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar reveals that the charge against the petitioner as regards his absence from duty was not found to have been proved by the Enquiry Officer. Likewise, Government Primary School Jalwaha, was not a single Teacher school and, thus, even the said charge remain unsubstantiated. That being so, the complaint against the petitioner was disposed of being bogus vide letter No. E-1/2015/138-41 dated 14.01.2015.

Resultantly, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter in hand has become infructuous and be dismissed as such.

Ordered accordingly.

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

**27.04.2015
'SP'**