

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.1546 of 2013

Date of decision: 25.8.2015

Paramjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Amrik Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The entire foundation of the case built by the petitioner rests on his claim for promotion to the post of Research Assistant w.e.f. 21.11.1996 and with reference to that date, he argues that he deserved to have been promoted as a Producer before he retired from service on reaching the age of superannuation. However, after retirement, Government have reviewed order dated 21.11.1996 during the pendency of this petition and have withdrawn the same vide order dated 10.1.2014 [R-2]. The Director, Cultural Affairs, Archaeology & Museums, Punjab has found that the actual date of promotion of the petitioner to the post of Research Assistant could only be 7.11.2006 and for the reasons mentioned in the order, the earlier

order granting retrospective promotion with effect from 21.11.1996 [P-4] stands nullified. However, the order of promotion of the petitioner from the post of Coordinator to the post of Research Assistant issued vide order dated 7.11.2006 from the date of actual promotion has been kept intact.

In view of these turn of events, the petition has been rendered infructuous and is accordingly dismissed as infructuous, with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order adverse to his interest [R-2].

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

August 25, 2015
Paritosh Kumar