

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 12180 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 01.07.2015

Neelam

.....Petitioner

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Rajinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P. Setia, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The claim of the petitioner in the present petition is to challenge the action of respondents No.1 and 2 as she is not being considered for the post of JBT Teacher, which is contrary to the norms laid down by NCTE.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that it has been decided by the respondents to consider the grievance of the petitioner.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respondents that the grievance of the petitioner is being considered, nothing survives in the present petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

However, the respondents are directed to consider the grievance of the petitioner preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

July 01, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE