

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No.1218 of 2015**

**Date of decision: 10.2.2015**

Surjit Kaur

**... Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of Punjab and others

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

**Present:** Mr.Ashish Bakshi, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)**

In the absence of an order of appointment and of termination as proof of employment as a Home Guard Volunteer, it is not sufficient to ignite the jurisdiction of this Court on the strength of the averments made in paragraph 4 alleging that the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent is demanding ₹ 20,000/- to re-employ the petitioner as a Volunteer Home Guard. In the absence of foundational facts, no interference is possible in the writ jurisdiction claiming a mandamus on disputed questions of fact.

In case, the petitioner has any concrete proof of employment as a Home Guard Volunteer and that her services were dispensed with contrary to law, then she may apply with such proof for reviving this petition. This petition shall stand disposed of in the above terms as not meriting interference as of now.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE**

**February 10, 2015**

Paritosh Kumar