

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1283 of 2014
Date of decision: 25.03.2015

Lovedeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. HS Dhillon, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Mann, Addl. AG, Punjab.
Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate for respondents No.4-8.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 8 contends that as the petitioner has been employed as Junior Engineer in Municipal Corporation, Hoshiarpur about three months ago, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although he does not have any concrete instructions in this regard, but let this petition be dismissed as having become infructuous with a liberty to the petitioner to move appropriate application for its restoration in case any cause of action, dispute or interest still survives.

Dismissed as infructuous with the liberty as prayed for.

March 25, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge