

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No.11940 of 2015
Date of Decision : 31.07.2015

Shri Bhagwan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this writ petition the petitioner has challenged the order dated 14.10.2014 (Annexure P-17).

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.5781 of 2015, titled as *Subhash Chand vs. State of Haryana and others*, decided on 04.06.2015.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti Goyal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has supplied a copy of the

petition as well as a copy of the judgment to the learned AAG today in Court itself.

Learned Assistant Advocate General has accepted that the decision in the aforesaid case is fully applicable to the facts of the present case but has added the caveat that to her knowledge the respondents are in the process of appealing the said judgment.

Be that as it may, once it is accepted that the facts of the present case are covered by Subhash Chand's case (supra), the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 31, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**