

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 11928 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 29, 2015

Daya Nand,

..... Petitioner

v.

Union of India and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate
for Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The claim in this writ petition is for grant of 2nd ACP. Counsel for the petitioner states that in this connection, the petitioner has got issued a legal notice (Annexure P-1) and that at this stage, he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the said legal notice within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. Consequently, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner (Annexure P-1), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, within four months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**May 29, 2015
'kk'**