

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11899 of 2015
Date of decision : May 29, 2015

Surender Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner on many occasions made representation to the office of respondent No.2 to treat him on service and pay salary along with service benefits for the period 25.11.2009 to 9.11.2011 in view of the order passed by respondent No.2 dated 19.9.2011 (Annexure P-15) whereby the petitioner was ordered to be adjusted as Maths Master on Guest Faculty.

In view of the adjustment policy of the Government, despite that no action has been taken by the Director Middle Education Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to Annexure P-18 purported to be the latest

representation but there is no date on the vernacular.

The present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director School Education (Haryana), Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to treat the writ petition as representation of the petitioner and take appropriate decision in accordance with law preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted then consequential benefits, if any, be given to the petitioner within a further period of two months. In case the respondents do not grant any relief then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 29 , 2015
archana