

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11844-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.05.2015

Gurmail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Harnek Singh Kailey, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for grant of interest on the delayed payment of retiral dues.

It is contended that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of law laid down in *The Financial Commissioner, Haryana Vs. Hasan Singh Kanwar, 2010(1) SLR 788* and *A.J.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468*. It is further submitted that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has served legal notice, Annexure P-3, however, the same has not been decided so far.

Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without advertng to the merits of the case, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, to decide the

legal notice, Annexure P-3, by examining as to whether the case of the petitioner is covered by the ratio of law laid down in Hasan Kanwar's case and A.J.S. Randhawa's case (supra), within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and if the answer is in affirmative, the consequential benefits be released to the petitioner within a period of two months thereafter.

Disposed of.

29.05.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE