

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20616 of 2011
Date of decision: 19.1.2015**

Lal Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anjali Kukkar, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents, while relying upon para 2 of the written statement, submits that since during the pendency of the writ petition, relief sought by the petitioner has already been granted, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner could not deny the correctness of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

In view of the above respective stands taken by learned counsel for the parties, present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

19.1.2015
AK Sharma

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**