

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.20583 of 2011(O&M)  
Date of Decision : 31.03.2015**

Om Parkash Wadhwa

..... Petitioner

Versus

Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Petitioner in person.

Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate  
for Mr. T.K. Joshi, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Sajjan Malik, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

By this writ petition the petitioner has challenged the action of the respondents in considering the respondent No.4 to be eligible for various posts on the premise that the subject of Public Administration and Political Science are interchangeable subject in the same discipline. It is the case of the petitioner that respondent No.4 had actually obtained an order in his favour from the Hon'ble Supreme Court by placing reliance on forged documents.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has pointed out that the

original petitioner in that case had also filed a review petition and a curative petition on the same ground but the Hon'ble Supreme Court had not set aside the judgment.

In my opinion, the fact that the Hon'ble Supreme Court had not set aside the judgment may not be determinative. However, it would not be possible for me to give any finding under the writ jurisdiction on the fact that the respondent No.4 had allegedly obtained an order on the basis of forged documents and the said issue has to be decided by a Court of fact in a regular civil suit.

In these circumstances, the petitioner has prayed for permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the civil court to seek the necessary relief.

Allowed as prayed for. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty. In case any such suit is filed, the concerned court would obviously decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**March 31, 2015**

*ashish*

**( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE**