

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 11674 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 28.05.2015

Lachman Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The grievance of the petitioner in the present case is that he was charge-sheeted on 18.7.2012 (Annexure P-2) after four years of his retirement. The petitioner submitted reply, an inquiry was conducted and thereafter order dated 21.7.2014 was passed, vide which, order for recovery from the pension of the petitioner was passed. Against the aforesaid order, the petitioner has filed statutory appeal on 18.9.2014, which is still pending before respondent No.1. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued by this Court to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner within some specified time.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the appeal of the petitioner preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

May 28, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE