

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM-9502-2015 &
CWP No.11659 of 2015
Date of decision: 06.08.2015

Anjana Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM-9502-2015

CM is allowed.

Representation (Annexure P4) is taken on record.

Main case

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents to count the ad hoc service rendered by the petitioner prior to her regularization in service for the purpose of determining seniority, pay fixation, proficiency step up and other consequential benefits. It is maintained that, prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even represented to respondent No.2, vide representation dated 12.01.2015 (Annexure P4), in this regard.

But to no avail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of only with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide her representation (Annexure P4), within a specified time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merit, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in his representation dated 12.01.2015 (Annexure P4), strictly in accordance with the law, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

August 6, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge