

CWP No.11568 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: May 28, 2015

Kushaatula Puhanian and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Dr. Rau P.S.Girwar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners has contended that the mandamus, which is prayed in the present writ petition, is because of the inaction on the part of the respondents as the petitioners had earlier approached the respondents for the grant of the benefit under the Tatkal Scheme, which was denied to them but on passing of the order dated 05.05.2015 (Annexure P-2) in CWP No. 8701 of 2015, the petitioners had admittedly been issued the passport. He contends that the inaction on the part of the respondents, therefore, need to be noted and appropriate action taken against them.

This contention of the counsel for the petitioners cannot be accepted as the aspect with regard to the said inaction or illegal action on the part of the respondents has not been determined while passing the order dated 05.05.2015 by this Court.

The writ petition, therefore, stands dismissed.

It is further clarified that the petitioners may avail of their alternate remedy, if any, in accordance with law, if so advised with regard to the remedy, as has been prayed for in the present writ petition.

May 28, 2015

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**