

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: MAY 29, 2015

Narinderpal Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states different works were assigned to the petitioner, detail of which is mentioned in Annexure P-1. After completion of works, final bills were submitted, copies of which have been appended as Annexure P-2 but the payments, which are to the tune ₹ 3,47,803/-, due to the petitioner have not been released. The petitioner has even filed a representation dated 11.01.2015 (Annexure P-3) to the Executive Engineer, Construction Division, P.W.D. (B&R), Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur-respondent No.4, but the same has not been decided till date.

Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Executive Engineer, Construction

Division, P.W.D. (B&R), Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur-respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation dated 11.01.2015 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner, within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to Executive Engineer, Construction Division, P.W.D. (B&R), Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur-respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation dated 11.01.2015 (Annexure P-3) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him, in accordance with law, within a further period of six weeks.

In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

May 29, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE