

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 11545 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 28, 2015

Mohinder Kumar,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. KS Boparai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. SP Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Learned senior counsel for the respondents states that during this interregnum, the petitioner having resigned and his resignation having been accepted, the impugned proceedings have met a natural death.

Counsel for the petitioner states that though he has no reason to disbelieve the statement made by counsel for the respondents, yet he has no instructions in the matter.

In the circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of as having

been rendered infructuous, even while giving liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case the statement made by counsel for the respondents is incorrect.

July 28, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE