

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12207 of 2014

Date of decision:13/03/2015

Bahadur Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Panjab University, Chandigarh

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate for the respondent.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-University states that petitioners No.2,3,4 and 5 have since been selected as Security Guards and therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous qua them. So far as petitioners No.1 and 6 are concerned, it is stated that they were considered but not found suitable and therefore, not selected.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-University, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press this petition qua petitioners No.2,3,4 and 5. However, he seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition qua petitioners No.1 and 6 with liberty to challenge their non selection.

In view of the above, the present petition qua petitioners No.2,3,4 and 5 is dismissed as not pressed. So far as petitioners No.1 and 6 are concerned, the present petition is permitted to be withdrawn qua them with liberty as prayed for.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

13.03.2015

rajeev