

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-M-67 of 2006

Date of Order: 24.12.2015

Ashok Kumar

..Appellant

Versus

Sonia

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

Present: None for the appellant.
 Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
 for the respondent.

Rajive Bhalla (Oral)

Counsel for the respondent states, on instructions, that the appeal has been rendered infructuous as parties have been granted a decree of divorce by mutual consent and permanent alimony assessed has also been paid.

Counsel for the appellant is not present.

We have heard counsel for the respondent and as we do not find any reason to doubt the correctness of the statement, dismiss the appeal as infructuous with liberty to seek revival, in case there is any error in the statement made by counsel for the respondent.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

24.12.2015

**[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE**

nt