

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.11468 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 28, 2015

Navkiran Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Sunil Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

- 1· *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2· *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3· *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr.Aman Bahri, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. On our asking, he also accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2. Mr.B.K.Sharma, Registrar Recruitment-respondent No.3 too is present on our asking.

Let one copy each of the writ petition be supplied to learned State counsel and the Registrar Recruitment, during the course of day.

At the outset, it is pointed-out by the Registrar Recruitment that the representation dated 06.05.2015 made by the petitioner has been considered and rejected by the Recruitment Committee vide order dated 18.05.2015. It is stated that a copy of that order has already been sent to the petitioner on 27.05.2015.

On our asking, a photocopy of that order has been handed-over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In this view of the matter, this writ petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the aforesaid order, if so advised, before an appropriate Forum.

Ordered accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

May 28, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE