

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12132 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.2.2015

Gurnam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rajiv Prashad, Addl. A.G., Punjab

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no decision has been taken by the competent authority amongst the respondents on the representation (Annexure P-6) which has been submitted to the competent authority before filing of the writ petition. During the pendency of this writ petition, the petitioner has also received some information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 from the respondent-authorities and thereafter, the petitioner submitted another representation to the competent authority which has been appended alongwith replication as Annexure P-7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no useful purpose would be served by keeping this petition pending and he may be granted liberty to pursue the aforesaid representations. The prayer of the petitioner appears to be reasonable.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to

CWP No.12132 of 2014 (O&M)

:2:

respondent no.1 to take a final decision on the representations (Annexures P-6 and P-7) as also by treating this writ petition as a representation, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

19.2.2015
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE