

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11418 of 2015
Date of Decision:29.06.2015**

Sonia and others

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

. . . .Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.S.S. Duhan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.D.K. Mittal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.D.K. Khanna, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Mr.Ramesh Chander, Advocate,
for respondent No.3

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has stated at the Bar that
this petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Liberty is given to the petitioners that in case any
discrepancy is found by them in the statement made by counsel for
the State, they may revive the present petition by filing an
appropriate application.

29.06.2015

Vivek

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**