

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11385-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 28.05.2015

Dharam Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to count the work charge service rendered by the petitioner, towards pensionary benefits.

It is contended that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of law laid down in ***Ranbir Singh Vs. Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others***, rendered in CWP No.4763 of 2006, (Annexure P-1) and ***Dakshin Haryana Vijli Vitran Nigam Vs. Bachan Singh, 2009(5) SLR 476***. It is further submitted that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has served legal notice, Annexure P-4, however, the same has not been decided so far.

Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without advertng to the merits of the case, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, to decide the

legal notice, Annexure P-4, by examining the case of the petitioner as to whether the same is covered by the ratio of law laid down in Annexures P-1 and P-2, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and if the answer is in affirmative, the consequential benefits be released to the petitioner within a period of two months thereafter.

Disposed of.

28.05.2015

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE