

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1137 of 2015
Date of decision : 09.04.2015

Yash Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for quashing of the recovery order dated 16.07.2010 (Annexure P-3).

Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner informs the Court that recovery has already been effected and appeal qua the same has been filed on 27.10.2010 (Annexure P-4). Since now the petitioner has been acquitted, the petitioner would be entitled to statutory relief in accordance with law by taking all the issues in the pending appeal. It

has been pointed out that appeal is pending before Director General, Haryana for the last four years which has not yet been decided.

Respondent No.2-Director General, Haryana, State Transport Commissioner, is directed to decide the appeal (Annexure P-4) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking and detailed order in accordance with law.

In case, the respondent No.2 decides to pass a order in favour of the petitioner, the relief which the petitioner has been sought be given within a period of two months otherwise the petitioner shall at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law.

With the aforementioned directions, present writ petition stands disposed of.

09.04.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**