

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11327-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision:06.07.2015**

DAYA NAND

....PETITIONER

VS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim is for grant of ACP after completion of 24 years of service. In this connection the petitioner has also got issued a legal notice dated 20.02.2015(Annexure P-1) on the respondents but till date no action has been taken thereon. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said legal notice within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice(Annexure P-1) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**July 06, 2015
sunita**