

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

**CWP No.17689 of 2012
Date of Decision:20.05.2015**

Lachman Singhpetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Mohd.Yousaf, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Rupam Aggarwal, DAG Punjab

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned State counsel has produced an order dated 19.05.2015 passed by Director of Public Instructions (SE), Punjab, in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied.

It is maintained that the petitioner has been afforded extension for two service in service w.e.f.01.12.2014 to 30.11.2016 along with consequential financial benefits.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter in hand has become infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

20.05.2015

neenu

NEENU VERMA
2015.05.21 11:58
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**