

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11309 of 2015

Date of decision: 28.05.2015

Sham Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition so as to enable the petitioner to represent the respondent authorities as regards his grievance in the first instance. He submits that he would make a comprehensive representation within a week from today and the respondents be directed to decide the same within a specified time.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Though wholly needless to state, if indeed any such representation is made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and decided, strictly in accordance with the law, within a period of 3 months from date of its receipt.

May 28, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

