

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 11306 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 28, 2015

Rishi Kumar Sharma,

..... Petitioner

v.

Chairman, Haryana Power Utilities and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The claim raised in this writ petition is that there are stipulated circumstances under which an employee can be suspended as enumerated in clause 4-A of the Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited Employees (Punishment and Appeal) Regulations, 2006.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that in this connection the petitioner has made a representation, Annexure P-18, and that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation in consonance with clause 4-A (supra) within any reasonable time since he has now remained under suspension for six months.

I find this to be a fair request. Consequently, this writ petition

is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-18) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within two months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

May 28, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE