

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

206

CWP No.17632 of 2012

Date of Decision:28.05.2015

Punjab & Sind Bank

.....Petitioner

Versus

Satnam Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

**Present: Mr.J.S.Sathi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr.Karamjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.**

- 1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?**

AMIT RAWAL, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause of action in the present case does not survive and the instant writ petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

May 28, 2015
seema

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE