

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.11243 of 2015

Date of Decision: 28.05.2015

Meena Sharma and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Hayana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. M.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the present petitioners is that they were appointed on contract basis on different dates as per details given in para No.2 after due advertisement and by considering the claim of all eligible persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are apprehending that they can be replaced by another set of contract employees. He further submits that similarly situated persons approached this Court by way of filing **CWP No.23837 of 2014** which stands disposed of with a direction to decide the representation to be filed by them.

In the present case also, no representation has been moved. Simply on the basis of apprehension, the petitioners have approached this Court stating that they are going to be replaced by other contractual employees. No communication has been placed on record by the petitioners to show that they are going to be replaced by other contractual

employees.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case, any representation is moved by the petitioners within a period of four weeks from today, the same be considered and it is expected that the petitioners would be replaced only by the regular candidates but would not be substituted by other contractual employees.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

28.05.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE