

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

151

CWP No.11181 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: May 28, 2015

Surinder Kumar Govt. Contractor

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. P.S.Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was allotted a work order by the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Nabha on 19.08.2011. Some additional works were also assigned to the petitioner, which were completed on 03.05.2012 and the bills were submitted in July, 2012. Vide Resolution No. 253 dated 18.06.2013, the work completed by the petitioner was passed by the Municipal Council, Nabha but till date, no payment has been released to the petitioner. Petitioner has been approaching the respondents for release of the amount due but without any result. Petitioner has, through counsel, served a notice dated 03.03.2015 (Annexure P-4) upon the respondents but that also, till date, has not solicited any response.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Director Local Bodies, Punjab and the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Nabha, District Patiala, respondents No. 2 and 3 respectively, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 03.03.2015 (Annexure P-4) within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Director Local Bodies, Punjab and the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Nabha, District Patiala, respondents No. 2 and 3 respectively, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 03.03.2015 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a further period of one month. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well-reasoned speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

May 28, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE