

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: MAY 29, 2015

Parmod Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court, impugning the recommendation of the State Level Scrutiny Committee, whereby the certificate of backward class issued in favour of respondent No.5, which was already cancelled, was ordered to be so continued and if the certificate was not cancelled, it was ordered to be cancelled. In fact, so far as this portion is concerned, the petitioner has no grouse. His grievance is with regard to Decision No.ii), which reads as under:-

“ii) Since Appellant Mr.Inderjit Kumar has not taken any benefit and get the certificate at the tender age of 18 years, no legal action may be taken against him or his family.”

Counsel for the petitioner contends that since an FIR has already been registered i.e. F.I.R. No.273, dated 30.07.2013 (Annexure P-7)

against respondent No.5, the above observations were uncalled for.

This contention of counsel for the petitioner, which has been pressed into service, cannot be accepted as he has approached this Court under a misconception that these observations would have some impact upon the criminal proceedings initiated against respondent No.5. The criminal proceedings will take its own course and these observations are merely recommendatory in nature and not binding.

The writ petition, therefore, stands dismissed.

May 29, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE