

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11095 of 2015
Date of decision: 17.07.2015

Simarjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Gurjeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents-authorities to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization of her services and she be afforded a regular pay scale in terms of the policy for regularization dated 28.03.2003 (Annexure P2). Petitioner claims to have joined the department as Clerk on daily wages on 06.11.1997. His services were brought to an end on 25.06.2002. In an industrial dispute raised by the petitioner, she was ordered to be reinstated by the labour court with continuity of service vide award dated 20.05.2013 (Annexure P6). Consequently, the petitioner continues to be in service. Petitioner purports to have represented to the respondents as regards regularization of his services in terms of the policy (Annexure P2), vide representation dated 28.10.2013

(Annexure P7). But to no avail. So much so, prior to the institution of this petition, respondents were even served upon a legal notice dated 30.05.2014 (Annexure P9). But that too has failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 5, to consider and decide her representation (Annexure P7) and the legal notice (Annexure P9) served upon the respondents, within a specified time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merit, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 to 5, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in her representation dated 28.10.2013 (Annexure P7) followed by a legal notice dated 30.05.2014 (Annexure P9), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

July 17, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge