

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.02.16 10:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.11762-2014
Date of decision:12.02.2015

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State Public Information Commission, Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that during the pendency of this writ petition, information sought by the petitioner has already been supplied to him. However, learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that since information has been supplied at a belated stage, the penalty should be imposed on the respondent authorities for supplying the information late.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, after careful perusal of record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the contentions raised, this Court is of the considered opinion that petitioner is not entitled for any further relief. It is so said, because petitioner has not tried to set up his case for imposing penalty on the respondents, but learned counsel for the petitioner is trying to enlarge the scope of the writ petition which cannot be permitted.

In view of the above, instant writ petition does not survive and the same is disposed of, accordingly.

12.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE