

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 11044 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision : 27.05.2015

Mustak Khan

..... Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in this petition is that the petitioner should be granted service pension in addition to the disability pension which has been granted to him. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has moved representation dated 20.10.2014(Annexure P-5) and that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time. Further states that the petitioner would be willing to give up the arrears for a period of 38 months prior to the filing of the application in view of the fact that there is delay in moving the same.

Keeping in view these facts I direct respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a

speaking order thereon and, in case, the petitioner is found entitled to any relief, grant the same to him within a period of one month thereafter. It is made clear that if any due relief is not granted to the petitioner within the aforesaid period, he would be entitled to claim the same with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the payment/s fell due till the date of realisation.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2015
sunita