

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11033-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.05.2015

Brij Mohan Chadda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

By way of the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought grant of interest on the delayed payment of retiral dues.

The learned counsel contends that the petitioner retired from service on 31.10.2010, whereas, the retiral benefits have been paid on 30.04.2015 after a period of 4½ years. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied if the present petition is treated as a representation and the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of the law laid down in *The Financial Commissioner, Haryana Vs. Hasan Singh Kanwar, 2010(1) SLR 788; Daya Singh Vs. State of Haryana, 2014(3) S.C.T. 211; D.D. Tiwari Vs. UHBVNL, 2014(3) SCC 894; and A.J.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468.*

In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for release of interest on the delayed payment, within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, and in case, the same is covered by the aforesaid authorities (supra), interest at the rate of 9% per annum from the date of retirement, till the payment of the retiral dues be paid to him within two months thereafter.

Disposed of.

27.05.2015

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE