

116 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10970-2015(O&M)

Date of decision: 27.05.2015

BADAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. S.K.Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

In this writ petition the petitioner claims that a huge recovery has been ordered against him without even an inquiry or any show cause notice.

In my opinion it would be appropriate if the petitioner first makes a representation to the respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 be directed to decide the said representation in accordance with law within any reasonable time.

Consequently this petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to the petitioner to move a representation to respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and respondent No.2 is directed to consider the same and pass a peaking order in accordance with law within a period of one month thereafter.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc.

Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

May 27, 2015
sunita