

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10967-2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.05.2015

SANTOSH KUMARI SINDHU

...PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Hitesh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner in the present petition is for notional benefits of promotion. Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioners have moved a representation dated 24.02.2014(Annexure P-13) on the respondents and at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the said representation in accordance with law within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation Annexure P-13 in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of

two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and in case the petitioner is found entitled to any benefits, the same be released to her within a period of one month thereafter.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 27, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE